Introduction

The Uttarakhand High Court of India handed down a verdict of seminal significance on ecological significance of River Ganga in India from the standpoint of the sanctity and legality. In the case of Mohammed Salim vs State of Uttarakhand [1]. The Court expressed the utmost expediency to give legal status to rivers Ganga and Yamuna as a living person and legal entity. The verdict derives sustenance from the Articles 48-A and 51-A (g) of the Constitution of India, 1950 [2, 3]. The constitutional mandate fortifies human right as well as duty oriented environmental jurisprudence in India [4, 5]. This Ganga judgment widely assailed and acclaimed as having a ripple effect on ecological sustainability and restoring the mythological glory across India [6] and the world. Though the judgment replicates Sierra Club case of United States and Whanganui River Case of New Zealand [7, 8]. Owes heavily to earlier precedent to the effect of declaring river as a legal entity and juristic personality [9]. The Ganga River, with a length of 2,525 km, has a unique distinction amongst the world’s major river systems. The longest river of India ranked as the 34th longest river in the world. It occupies a total geographical area of 1,087, 3002 km [10]. The Ganga drainage basin geographically ranks only 16th largest river basin in the world. Despite the demographic distinction of being the largest populated river basin of the world. It’s plain is an outstanding geomorphic feature, remarkable topography, agricultural productivity and high population density [11].

National River of India

In India, river Ganga is significant being lifeline from the northern to the eastern part of India. It has a civilizational molding of Indian in general and Hindus in particular [12]. They not only depend on it for their livelihood but salvation after death. That is why it is called the ‘national river of India. It is experiencing a menacing level of water pollution and contamination despite the fact that the pollution-free environment is the constitutional right of every citizen and constitutional obligation of States [13]. Figure 1.
The socio-economic profiling of the Ganga basin covers 26% of the country’s landmass and supports 43% of its population. The water resources, in general, and Ganga Rivers, in particular, suffer from deterioration of water quality. The global climate change and consequent glacial melt have altered the character of the River non-perennial [14]. Figure 2.

Figure 2: The industrial pollution, toxic waste, and non-biodegradable effluent damaged the sanctity of the river.

The Ganga basin is marred by 12,000 million liters per day (MLD) sewage in contrast to a treatment capacity of 4,000 MLD. The industrial pollution, toxic waste, and non-biodegradable effluent damaged the sanctity of the river. Therefore, the government of India engaged deteroriously to improve water quality. It also took step to instill holiness in the minds of the people about the Ganga River [15].

Table: Major Policy Initiatives under NGRBA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S No</th>
<th>Policies &amp; Projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ganga River Basin Management Plan (GRBMP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Water Quality Monitoring with focus on Real time, Online assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Appraisal of Details Project Reports (D.P.R.s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Guidelines for Preparation of Details Project Reports (D.P.R.s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Independent Appraisal of Details Project Reports (D.P.R.s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>City Level Monitoring Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Streamlining of Review and Monitoring mechanism in States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tripartite Memorandum of Agreement (MoA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Involvement of NGRBA experts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Third Part Inspections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>City Level Monitoring Committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Dolphin Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Ganga Knowledge Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Public Outreach and Awareness, Involvement of youth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

International & Comparative Perspective

The Supreme Court of the United States in Sierra Club v. Morton delved deep into the legal entity of Mineral King Valley on behalf of Sierra Club. The case examined the issue of standing of Mineral King Valley to block the development of a ski resort under the Administrative Procedure Act [16]. The Court rejected a lawsuit by the Sierra Club because the club had not alleged any injury. Justice William O. Douglas dissented and observed that ecological concerns and environmental objects of valley, meadow, a river, wetland, and lake should be granted legal personhood by the public [17]. The Sierra Club’s dissenting opinion popularized the natural resources ought to the legal standing of natural resources and deserves to be quoted in the present context: The critical question of “standing” would be simplified and also put neatly in focus if we fashioned a federal rule that allowed environmental issues to be litigated before federal agencies or federal courts in the name of the inanimate object about to be despoiled, defaced, or invaded by roads and bulldozers and where the injury is the subject of public outrage. Contemporary public concern for protecting nature’s ecological equilibrium should lead to the conferment of standing upon environmental objects to sue for their preservation [18].

The second ruling on the subject deals with the Maori tribes of Whanganui, New Zealand in their bid to declare their in sevurable connection to their ancestral river. They have a belief and belongingness that 'the great river flows from the mountains to the sea. I am the river; the river is me.' Therefore, the right of the river to be free from pollution and form an integral part of the Maori’s culture and tradition [19]. The preservation movement of the Whanganui River in New Zealand resulted in settlement of claims between indigenous Maori communities and the state [20]. It is legally recognized under as a result, the Te Awa Tupua (Whanganui River Claims Settlement) Act 2017 by the state of New Zealand. The Act recognizes that the Whanganui River is a living entity and a legal person with rights that can be judicially enforced by appointed guardians [21]. The river is declared an indivisible and living whole from the mountains to the sea, holding "the rights, powers, duties, and liabilities of a legal person.

Text of Judgments

The petitioner Mohammed Salim filed public interest litigation in the High Court of Uttarakhand concerning illegal construction and encroachments along the Ganges River, as well as the failure to constitute the Ganga Management Board, as required by the Uttar Pradesh Reorganisation Act, 2000. The High Court expressed its displeasure about the indifferent attitude of the authorities in maintaining the health and vitality of the Ganga River. The Court noted as under: The extraordinary situation has arisen since Rivers Ganga, and the Yamuna are losing their very existence. This situation requires extraordinary measures taken to preserve and conserve Rivers Ganga and Yamuna. There are two directions of the Court the one delivered on December 5, 2016 [22]. And the other on March 20, 2017 [23]. In the High Court's December 5, 2016 decision, the Court issued three significant directions for cleaning Ganga River. It ordered for the immediate constitution of the Ganga Management Board. The encroachment in Ganga command area should be free from encroachment and sand mining by the officials in terms of the mandate of the Uttar Pradesh Reorganisation Act, 2000 and creation of the Uttarakhand state.

Figure 3: Uttar Pradesh Reorganisation Act, 2000 and creation of the Uttarakhand state.

Concept of Juristic Person

The operative part of the judgment pertains to the concept of a juristic person and its application to Ganga and Yamuna rivers of India. The judicial innovators have a significant bearing on the ecological significance of Ganga River and its protection from adverse environmental impact [24]. The ruling and ratio run as under: the Rivers Ganga and Yamuna, all their tributaries, streams, every natural water flowing with flow continuously or intermittently of these rivers, are declared as juristic/legal persons/ living entities having the status of a legal person with all corresponding rights, duties and liabilities of a living person to preserve and conserve river Ganga and Yamuna. The Court

enunciated the principle that ‘like any other natural person is in law also conferred with rights and obligations and is dealt with by law.’ In other words, one may infer as follows. The entity acts like a natural person but only through a designated person, as their Lordsships have held in the judgments cited hereinabove, which for a more significant thrust of socio-political-scientific development, the evolution of a fictional personality to be a juristic person becomes inevitable. This may be any entity, living inanimate, objects or things. It may be a religious institution or any such useful unit which may compel the Courts to recognize it.

**Doctrine of Prens Patriae**

The High Court appointed two officials from the state of Uttarakhand to serve as persons in loco parentis for the preservation and conservation of Ganga and Yamuna rivers. These officials cast with the obligation to uphold the health and well-being of the rivers. The exercise of its parentis patriae jurisdiction implied that river Ganga and Yamuna and all their tributaries, streams, every natural water flowing have a right as juristic persons and living entities to continuous or intermittent flow [25]. The Court declared that recognition of Ganga as a juristic person is for “subserving the needs and faith of society.” The Judges noted: All the Hindus have deep Astha in rivers Ganga and Yamuna, and they collectively connect with these rivers. Rivers Ganga and Yamuna are central to the existence of half of the Indian population and their health and wellbeing.

The rivers have provided both physical and spiritual sustenance to all of us from time immemorial. Rivers Ganga and Yamuna have spiritual and physical nourishment. They support and assist both the life and natural resources and health and well-being of the entire community. Rivers Ganga and Yamuna are breathing, living and sustaining the community from mountains to sea. Therefore, the responsibility of the state or things serves as a constitutional duty under Articles 48-A and 51-A (g) of the Constitution of India, 1950 for the ecological restoration and sanctity of the Ganga river. On this analogy, the Director Namami Gange (Clean Ganga), the Chief Secretary and the Advocate General of the State of Uttarakhand have solemn responsibility of preserving the river from the pollution and land encroachment. Their responsibilities emanate under the doctrine of locus parentis and parentis patriae jurisdiction.

**Sanctity of River**

The judicial displeasure noted that the alteration of the identity of Ganga and Yamuna and called for urgent remedial measures. The Court underlined the religious sanctity, conscience and faith of Hindus towards Ganga River. They worship and revered as Mother Goddess for purification of soul and salvation from life. In justification to uphold the sanctity of Ganga River the Court cited the earlier precedents of Yogendra Nath Naskar v. Commission of Income Tax, and Ram Janki Devi v. State of Bihar. In Yogendra Nath Naskar v. Commission of Income Tax [26]. The Supreme Court concluded that the Hindu idol is a juristic entity capable of holding property and of being taxed through its shebaits who entrusted with the possession and management of its property. The Court construed the meaning of 10 Sup. /69-13 of the word ‘individual’ in Section 3 of the Income Tax Act, 1961 should be restricted to human being and not to juristic entities.

In Ram Janki Devi v. State of Bihar, [27]. The core question was whether a Deity having a visible image and residing in its abode is to be treated as a juridical person for Bihar Land Reforms (Fixation of Ceiling Area and Acquisition of Surplus Land) Act, 1961. It is worthwhile here to refer to the Privy Council decision in the case of Pramatha Nath Mullick vs Pradyumna Kumar Mullick [28]. The ruling is pertinent to quote here: A Hindu idol is, according to long-established authority, founded upon the religious customs of the Hindus, and the recognition thereof by Courts of law, a “juristic entity.” It has a juridical status with the power of suing and being sued. It is unnecessary to quote the authorities, for this doctrine, thus simply stated, is firmly established. Thus the Privy Council ruled in 1925 that a Hindu idol is a juristic entity and capable of owning property. On the same footing, the Rivers Ganga Rivers carries legal entity besides having the material and spiritual existence. The constitution of Ganga Management Board in Uttarakhand state is sine qua non for the sustenance of Indian people.

**Conclusion**

The gist of the judgment is twofold: the one-remaining pristine glory and sanctity and maintenance of the ecological identity of perennial character of the of Ganga river. The main concern is to maintain the environmental significance of River Ganga in India from the standpoint of the sanctity and legality [29]. The piouesness, as well as the free-flowing of the Ganga and its tributaries with the communitarian approach [30]. The Hindu notions of sacred ecology and conservation ethic reflected in numerous judgments of the Courts and tribunal in India [31]. Justice Sharma stated that ‘Rivers Ganges and Yamuna are in sacred scriptures of Rigveda and revered as ‘Ganga Maa’ (Mother Goddess). He buttressed his arguments by citing precedents of Pramatha Nath Mullick vs Pradyumn Kumar Mullick, Yogendra Nath Naskar v. Commission of Income Tax, and Ram Janki Devi v. State of Bihar to uphold Hindu idols position as juristic entities and concomitant property rights under loco parentis and parentis patriae jurisdiction. Thus the Court issued a standing order that any damage to the identity of Ganga Rivers would be a cognizable offence under the criminal law of the land. In a subsequent decision, the Uttarakhand High Court in Lalit Miglani v. State of Uttarakhand [32]. Moderated the rights of Ganga river vis-à-vis natural entities within a scientifically proven and evidence-based framework. The decision recognized the ecological significance of the glaciers, lakes, and wetlands as legal persons but without reference to sacred ecology [33]. None the less, it subscribes to the analogy of the Mohammed Salm vs State of Uttar Pradesh in recognizing Ganga River as juristic persons. It is unfortunate that in July 2017, the Supreme Court of India issued a stay of the High Court’s order and the final verdict eagerly awaited. Meanwhile, the National Green Tribunal (NGT) directed Chief Secretaries of Uttar Pradesh, Uttarakhand, Bihar and West Bengal for the rejuvenation of the Ganga by adopting rigorous planning and monitoring for the rule of law for the protection of the environment and public health. The Tribunal observes that ‘it is a pity that even after constant monitoring by the Supreme Court for 34 years and by this Tribunal for six years Ganga remains polluted. After the 46 years after enactment of the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974 the discharge of pollutants in Ganga river basin continue to the most holy river [34]. It hoped that the potentiality of the judgment would shape comprehensive sustainability strategies and models for the restoration of pristine glory of River Ganga in India.
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