Opinion

Collaborative holistic approaches that incorporate recent evidence from multiple disciplines or sectors, including microbiology, immunology, and dairy and veterinary science, are crucial to enhancing sustainability, resilience, and health of humans and ecosystems around the world. Recent companion studies published in the Open Access journal Applied Microbiology describe transdisciplinary analysis of benefits and risks for foodborne and environmental hazards using evidence map approaches for depicting the ‘state of the science’ and uncertainties related to both infectious and non-infectious risks. Recent influential papers 

...and test-and-hold programs; and high inherent risk) are falsified by the current body of evidence documented in this peer-reviewed analysis [9]. From our perspective, recent data from clinical, mechanistic, and outbreak studies do not support the outdated assumptions that raw milk is inherently dangerous and that current hygienic management programs, including HACCP and test-and-hold programs, cannot ensure a safe, low-risk product for raw milk consumers.

In contrast, the 1999 Codex Alimentarius Commission (CAC) principles and guidelines document [6] that included one of the authors (MEC) specified that for objectivity, microbial risk assessments should be ‘soundly based on science’ and transparent. The recent evidence map analyses [1-3] document not only a coherent scientific basis for assessing benefits and risks simultaneously, but also feasible ecological and immunological mechanisms associated with protection from disease. Although the importance of physico-chemical factors (e.g., pH and temperature) and bioactive components including microbes naturally present in raw milks to supporting innate and acquired immunity, and reducing pathogens are not new ideas for dairy food safety [7], the companion manuscripts increase transparency for the extensive mechanistic and clinical body of evidence on raw milk benefits and risks for both breast milk [2] and cow milk [3]. Our companion manuscripts [1-3] emphasize that evidence for both benefits and risks must be weighed for 21st-century raw milks. Application of intentionally conservative assumptions for infectious disease risks based on outdated dogmas from 20th-century science is problematic in this decade of the ‘microhome revolution’ that is transforming our paradigms for assessing and managing risks of infective and non-communicable diseases. Decision makers around the world may continue to erroneously assume that pasteurization is a ‘silver bullet’, a simple magical fix to complex issues around the choices for consuming milk. Particularly, such people may continue to believe pasteurization to significantly decrease risks and significantly increase benefits for donor breast milk and ruminant milks despite extensive evidence to the contrary [1-3]. Clearly, 21st-century risk analysis methodologies can no longer ignore the natural milk microbiota and the changing paradigm of milk ecosystem interactions in health and disease.

In order to develop evidence-based decision support for regulating raw milks in the future, in our opinion, diverse stakeholders, including consumers, decision makers, scientific experts, and risk practitioners around the world, require opportunities for open dialogue as structured deliberations of available evidence for both breast milk [2] and cow milk [3]. The workshops are envisioned to open the first cycles of analysis and deliberation for raw milks in this century based on analytic-deliberative process as framed by a National Academies committee [8] that included one of the authors (DWN). Consistent with technological advances in microbiology and dairy science, as well as the CAC principles and guidelines, the international workshops will address the ‘state of the science’ and uncertainties, the potential biases and outdated assumptions about risks, and the impacts of new data and alternative assumptions for those who choose raw donor breast milk for their infants and raw cow’s milk for children and adults. We will welcome participation of dairy and veterinary scientists, including consumers, to deliberate evidence of benefits and risks of raw milks, for International Workshops to Deliberate Evidence of Benefits and Risks of Raw Milks.

References

3. Dietert RR, Coleman ME, North DW, Stephenson MM (2022) Nourishing the human holobiont to reduce the risk of...


