

Current Research in Psychology and Behavioral Science (CRPBS)

ISSN: 2833-0986

Volume 4, Issue 5, 2023

Article Information

Received date: 21 July, 2023 Published date: 01 August, 2023

*Corresponding author

Hunter Crespo, The New School for Social Research, USA

Key Words

Attachment; Foster care; Adoption; Security; Preoccupation

DOI: 10.54026/CRPBS/1099

Distributed under: Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Mini-Review

Mini Review: Attachment Representations of Adoptive and Foster Parents

Hunter Crespo* and Miriam Steele

The New School for Social Research, USA

Abstract

The present study outlines the importance of adoptive and foster parents' attachment representations in the subsequent development of their child. Attachment representation distributions of these samples are presented and discussed, and implications for social work are presented. Notably, attachment security of adoptive parents ranges between 35.9% and 76% and preoccupation ranges from 0 to 10%. The review suggests social workers should pay special attention to the way parents discuss early relationships and experiences of past loss or trauma.

Introduction

Previous research has demonstrated the social transmission of attachment representations across generations [1,2]. The idea that parents' representation of their own early experiences influences their child's subsequent emotional development is relevant for all children and may be especially relevant for children adopted out of abusive homes. Fewer studies have shown the social transmission of attachment in non-genetically related dyads [3], but it is known that previously maltreated children need sensitive and responsive caregiving to begin forming trusting relationships again [4]. To assess generalized attachment representations in adulthood, the Adult Attachment Interview [5], comprises a series of questions about one's childhood experiences including 5 adjectives to describe their relationship with mother and then father with supporting memories, if they ever felt rejected as a child, and how they think their early relationships have impacted them today [5]. The coding of the AAI results in a classification of one's attachment representation: Insecure-dismissing, insecure-preoccupied, or autonomous-secure [6]. Speakers may be coded as can't classify if they display contradictory strategies, such as idealizing and preoccupied anger [7]. An additional classification of unresolved is given to speakers who become seemingly disorganized when talking about past loss or trauma [6]. These attachment representations have been inferred to guide parental behavior during interactions with their children, which in turn influences the child's development and the quality of the parent-child relationship [8].

Discussion

Steele et al. [3] were the first to demonstrate that only 3 months after placement, adoptive parents' attachment representations were associated with their maltreated adopted children's emotional themes in a story stem completion task. They found more instances of aggressiveness, including catastrophic fantasies, child aggression, adult aggression, throwing out or throwing away (literally getting rid of the child), bizarre or atypical content, and child or adult injured or dead in the story stems of children whose parents' AAIs were classified as insecure-dismissing or insecure-preoccupied. For the parents whose AAIs were classified as unresolved regarding past loss or trauma, there were more instances of the parent appearing child-like, adult aggression, throwing out or throwing away, and fewer instances of realistic mastery and sibling or peer help in their children's story stem completions [3].

Subsequent work has corroborated the findings from that study. Barone and Lionetti [9] found secure attachment in adoptive parents to be a protective factor against attachment insecurity and disorganization in their late-adopted preschoolers [9]. In the same study, attachment disorganization was linked to decreased emotional understanding skills, pointing to the importance of the security in the child's development. Lionetti [10] found that adoptive mothers security of attachment predicted their infants' development of security over and above infant's temperament. Stovall-McClough and Dozier [11] showed infants placed with autonomous foster parents, as compared to insecure foster parents, were more likely to show secure behaviors quickly upon placement, less likely to show avoidant behaviors within the first 2 months of placement and showed more stable attachment strategies over time. In a sample of older adoptees, maternal attachment security was related to secure internal working models in their adopted children, as assessed by the FFI [12]. Those children with secure internal working models were also less likely to have significant psychological problems [12]. Despite the evident importance of the attachment state of mind of adoptive and foster parents, there has been limited studies on the distribution of attachment representations of adoptive and foster parents and those that have been done have produced inconsistent results. Notably, what stands in all of these samples is the lack of preoccupied transcripts in adoptive parent samples. Speakers classified as preoccupied are still involved with their past attachment experiences, do not describe them coherently or reflectively, and have high scores of involving anger or passivity of discourse [7]. Future research should examine why these speakers are consistently underrepresented in these samples (Table 1).



Table 1: Attachment distributions of adoptive and foster parents.

	Secure	Dismissing	Preoccupied	Unresolved
Bakermans-Kranenburg				
and van IJzendoorn, (2009)	58%	24%	18%	19%
[7] non-clinical normative	3670	24/0	1070	1970
sample				
Raby et al. (2017) [13]				
international adoptive	75%	9%	5%	11%
parents				
Raby et al. (2017) [13] foster	55%	19%	1%	25%
parents	3370	1970	1 70	2370
Dozier et al. (2001) [14]	54%	22%	0%	24%
Sanotini and Zavattini	76%	9%	10%	4%
(2005) [15]	/6%	7%	10%	4%
Ballen et al. (2010) [16]	35.90%	17%	0%	46.20%

Conclusion

Given the documented importance of the state of mind of adopters on their children's subsequent development, the present article calls for more research on this concept in adoptive and foster care parents. Further, the gold standard in assessing attachment, the Adult Attachment Interview [5] is an hour-long interview and requires a skilled and reliable coder to yield a classification. Thus, this method may not be feasible in clinical contexts where resources are often limited. Future research should explore familiarizing mental health workers with the findings from empirical studies of attachment and pay special attention to the interplay between attachment states of mind in adoptive samples, specifically for aspects of security and indices of disorganization when speaking of past loss or trauma.

References

- Van IJzendoorn M (1995) Adult attachments representations, parental responsiveness, and infant attachment: A meta-analysis on the predictive validity of the adult attachment interview. Psychological Bulletin 117(3): 387-403
- Steele H, Steele M, Fonagy P (1996) Associations among attachment classifications of mothers, fathers, and their infants. Child Development 67(2): 541-555.
- Steele M, Hodges J, Kaniuk J, Hillman S, Henderson KAY (2003) Attachment representations and adoption: Associations between maternal states of mind and emotion narratives in previously maltreated children. Journal of Child Psychotherapy 29(2): 187-205.

- Côté C, Clément MÈ (2022) Let's talk about love: Perceptions of children in residential care. Children and Youth Services Review 140: 106584.
- George C, Kaplan N, Main M (1985) The adult attachment interview. Department of Psychology, University of California, USA.
- Hesse E, Main M (1999) Second-generation effects of unresolved trauma in non maltreating parents: Dissociated, frightened, and threatening parental behavior. Psychoanalytic Inquiry 19(4): 481-540.
- Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, IJzendoorn MH (2009) The first 10,000 adult attachment interviews: Distributions of adult attachment representations in clinical and non-clinical groups. Attachment & Human Development 11(3): 223-263.
- Verhage ML, Schuengel C, Madigan S, Fearon RMP, Oosterman M, et al. (2016) Narrowing the transmission gap: A synthesis of three decades of research on intergenerational transmission of attachment. Psychological Bulletin 142(4): 337-366.
- Barone L, Lionetti F (2012) Attachment and emotional understanding: A study on late-adopted pre-schoolers and their parents. Child: Care, Health and Development 38(5): 690-696.
- Lionetti F (2014) What promotes secure attachment in early adoption?
 The protective roles of infants' temperament and adoptive parents' attachment. Attachment & Human Development 16(6): 573-589.
- Stovall-McClough KC, Dozier M (2004) Forming attachments in foster care: Infant attachment behaviors during the first 2 months of placement. Development and Psychopathology 16(2): 253-271.
- Pace CS (2014) Assessing attachment representations among adoptees during middle childhood and adolescence with the Friend and Family Interview (FFI): Clinical and research perspectives. Frontiers in Psychology 5: 1114.
- Raby KL, Yarger HA, Lind T, Fraley RC, Leerkes E, et al. (2017) Attachment states of mind among internationally adoptive and foster parents. Development and Psychopathology 29(2): 365-378.
- Dozier M, Stoval KC, Albus KE, Bates B (2001) Attachment for infants in foster care: The role of caregiver state of mind. Child Development 72(5): 1467-1477.
- Santona A, Zavattini GC (2005) Partnering and parenting expectations in adoptive couples. Sexual and Relationship Therapy 20(3): 309-322.
- Ballen N, Bernier A, Moss E, Tarabulsy GM, St-Laurent D (2010) Insecure attachment states of mind and atypical caregiving behavior among foster mothers. Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology 31(2): 118-125.