



CORPUS PUBLISHERS

Environmental Sciences and Ecology: Current Research (ESECR)

ISSN: 2833-0811

Volume 4 Issue 2, 2023

Article Information

Received date : March 15, 2023

Published date: March 29, 2023

Corresponding author

Simone Magela Moreira, Varginha Farm-Bambuí/Medeiros Highway-Km 05-Bambuí, Minas Gerais. Brazil

DOI: 10.54026/ESECR/1091

Keywords

Conservation; Participatory Decision-Making; Capacity building; Partnerships; Sustainable development

Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

Opinion

Managing State Parks in Brazil: Strategies for Resolving Conflicts and Promoting Sustainable Conservation Practices

Simone Magela Moreira* and Ariane Flávia do Nascimento

Department of Agricultural Sciences of the Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of Minas Gerais (IFMG), Brazil

Abstract

This opinion article examines the challenges associated with State Parks management in Brazil and the resolution of conflicts that arise between managers and local communities. Through a comprehensive and collaborative approach, we highlight the importance of understanding the social, cultural and historical contexts in which these conflicts occur.

Introduction: We aim to critically analyze the management challenges of State Parks in Brazil, with a specific focus on conflicts with the surrounding population.

Methods: This opinion article is based on the existing literature to analyze the constant conflicts in the management of State Parks in Brazil. We explore factors that contribute to these conflicts, such as differing interests, values and expectations.

Results: Our analysis highlights the complexities of conflicts between park managers and local communities in Brazil. We present practical strategies for dealing with these conflicts, such as participatory decision-making processes, training staff and local communities, and strengthening partnerships between park managers and local stakeholders. In this way, we identify possible solutions to resolve these conflicts and promote sustainable and effective conservation practices.

Conclusions: The management of State Parks in Brazil requires a differentiated understanding of the social, cultural and historical context in which these parks are inserted. We propose that incorporating participatory decision-making processes, empowering park staff and local communities, and strengthening partnerships can facilitate effective conflict resolution and sustainable conservation practices. Our findings have implications for protected area management around the world and highlight the need for further research in this area.

Introduction

State Parks in Brazil are essential for the preservation of biodiversity and cultural heritage, but their management is challenging, especially when conflicts arise between park managers and local communities. Such conflicts may arise due to differing interests, values and expectations. As a result, they can significantly impact the effectiveness of conservation measures and the well-being of local communities. The challenges of managing State Parks in Brazil have been the focus of many studies in recent years [1, 2]. While these studies have contributed to understanding of the complexities of the issue, there is still a need for critical analysis and practical recommendations for dealing with conflicts between park managers and local surrounding communities. This opinion article aims to fill this gap by taking a closer look at conflicts with the population in the management of State Parks in Brazil. Based on case studies and a review of existing literature, we highlight the importance of understanding the social, cultural and historical contexts in which these conflicts occur. We identify the factors that contribute to conflicts and propose practical strategies to face them, such as participatory decision-making processes, training park staff and local community leaders, and strengthening partnerships between park managers and local stakeholders. Our analysis and recommendations have important implications for the management of State Parks in Brazil and the broader field of environmental conservation [3, 4]. By providing practical solutions to dealing with conflict, we hope to contribute to more effective and sustainable conservation practices in Brazil and beyond.

Main Text

The spatial distribution of state parks in Brazil plays a significant role in the difficulties in managing conflicts with the surrounding populations. Many of these conservation units are located in regions historically inhabited by traditional or indigenous communities that depend on natural resources for their subsistence. The establishment of parks in these regions often leads to conflicts with local communities, mainly related to access to resources and restrictions on traditional activities such as hunting, fishing and gathering [5]. Furthermore, the location of state parks in remote areas with limited infrastructure and resources can be a challenge for park managers to effectively meet the needs and demands of neighboring populations. Such a situation creates a scenario where divergences are likely and may compromise the effectiveness of conservation efforts and the well-being of local communities. State Parks in Brazil play a crucial role in preserving biodiversity and cultural heritage, but their management can be challenging, especially when conflicts arise between park managers and local communities [1]. To effectively manage these protected areas and avoid conflicts, it is essential to understand the social, cultural and historical context of parks in Brazil [6]. The social context, which includes demographic characteristics such as ethnicity, age, gender and socioeconomic status, significantly affects management. Conflicts can arise when managers implement regulations that interfere with traditional practices of local inhabitants, such as camping, hunting and fishing. To balance conservation goals with the needs and rights of local communities, managers must engage with them, understanding their perspectives and concerns, and identifying ways to work collaboratively [7]. Park managers can work with local organizations that represent diverse communities, such as ethnic or women's groups, to develop partnerships that support the preservation and promote inclusiveness. Cultural context refers to beliefs, customs and traditions and is crucial in shaping the relationship between Community members and State Parks in Brazil. Some of these



locals may see the presence of Parks and the promotion of their attractions as a threat to their cultural identity and the destruction of existing livelihoods [8]. In these cases, park managers must recognize and respect the cultural diversity of local communities and work towards solutions that align with their cultural values and traditions [8,9]. Administrators should develop park management plans that are culturally sensitive and take into account the values and cultural traditions of local communities. This can involve incorporating traditional knowledge and practices into park management and involving local communities in the implementation of these plans. The historical context also influences the management of State Parks in Brazil. The creation of some State Parks may have resulted in the displacement of local communities, expropriation of the private areas within its limits, leading to historical complaints and distrust in relation to the sectors responsible for the creation of the park. Park managers should conduct historical research to understand the cultural heritage and history of local communities and their relationship to the park and its resources. This information can be used to inform park management decisions and ensure that they are culturally appropriate and sensitive to the needs of local communities. In addition, they should prioritize management plans that are culturally sensitive and take into account the cultural heritage and history of local communities. This may involve incorporating traditional knowledge and practices into management and involving local communities in the implementation of these plans. Recognizing and addressing the historical context can help build trust and promote effective communication and collaboration between park managers and local communities [10]. In summary, understanding the social, cultural, and historical context of State Parks in Brazil is crucial for effectively managing these protected areas and avoiding conflicts. By acknowledging and respecting the diversity of local communities and engaging in participatory decision-making processes, park managers can work towards achieving conservation goals while supporting the needs and rights of local communities. Managing conflicts in State Parks in Brazil requires participatory and inclusive decision-making processes that engage local communities in the management of these protected areas [2]. Community-based conservation programs are one way to involve local communities in the design and implementation of conservation initiatives, which can help build trust and foster collaboration between park managers and local communities [4,5]. Recognizing and respecting the rights of local communities is another crucial strategy for managing conflicts. Park managers should respect the traditional rights of local communities to access and use natural resources within the parks, as long as these activities do not compromise the conservation goals of the protected area [7,8]. Providing alternative livelihood opportunities for local communities that align with conservation goals is also essential [9]. Effective management of State Parks in Brazil requires strong partnerships between park managers and local stakeholders [1]. Joint management committees that include representatives from local communities, park managers, and other stakeholders can ensure that the voices and perspectives of local communities are heard and taken into account in decision-making processes [2,6]. Capacity building is also necessary for effective State Park management. This involves providing training and resources for park staff and local communities, empowering local communities to engage more effectively in decision-making processes and increasing their understanding of conservation issues [5,9]. Addressing underlying social and economic factors that contribute to conflicts requires collaboration with other sectors such as agriculture, forestry, and urban planning [11, 12]. The strategies proposed in this opinion manuscript have important implications for the management of State Parks in Brazil and can serve as a model for other protected areas facing similar challenges [13].

Conclusion

In conclusion, managing State Parks in Brazil effectively requires a comprehensive and collaborative approach to address conflicts with local populations. Strategies such as participatory decision-making, joint management committees, capacity building, and partnerships between park managers and local stakeholders can help to build trust and promote collaboration towards shared conservation goals. Addressing underlying social and economic factors such as poverty and inequality is also crucial. More research is needed to understand these factors and identify effective strategies. By balancing conservation goals with local community needs and rights, park managers can contribute to biodiversity preservation and community well-being.

References

1. Specht MJ, Santos BA, Marshall N, Melo FPL, Leal IR, et al. (2019) Socioeconomic differences among resident, users and neighbour populations of a protected area in the Brazilian dry forest. *Journal of environmental management* 232: 607-614.
2. Selva GV, Pauli N, Kim MK, Clifton J (2020) Opportunity for change or reinforcing inequality? Power, governance and equity implications of government payments for conservation in Brazil. *Environmental Science & Policy* 105: 102-112.
3. De Oliveira BR, Da Costa EL, Carvalho Ribeiro SM, Maia-Barbosa PM (2020) Land use dynamics and future scenarios of the Rio Doce State Park buffer zone, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 192: 1-12.
4. Berkes F (2021) *Advanced introduction to community-based conservation*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
5. Walters G, Broome NP, Cracco M, Dash T, Dudley N, et al. (2021) COVID-19, Indigenous peoples, local communities and natural resource governance. *Parks* 27(27): 57-72.
6. Junior MGC, Biju BP, da Silva Neto EC, de Oliveira AL, de Oliveira Tavares AA, et al. (2020) Improving the management effectiveness and decision-making by stakeholders' perspectives: A case study in a protected area from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Journal of Environmental Management* 272: 111083.
7. de Freitas RR, de Araujo LG (2020) Disputed Territories in Southeastern Brazil: Effects of the Serra da Bocaina National Park on Nearby Coastal Communities. *Environmental management* 66(6): 1012-1023.
8. Brown J, Mitchell NJ, Beresford M (2005) The protected landscape approach: linking nature, culture and community. *IUCN*.
9. Martin A, Coolsaet B, Corbera E, Dawson NM, Fraser JA, et al. (2016) Justice and conservation: The need to incorporate recognition. *Biological conservation* 197: 254-261.
10. Conforti VA, de Azevedo FCC (2003) Local perceptions of jaguars (*Panthera onca*) and pumas (*Puma concolor*) in the Iguaçú National Park area, south Brazil. *Biological conservation* 111(2): 215-221.
11. Boom Cárcamo E, Peñabaena Nibbles R (2022) Analysis of the Development of Industrial Symbiosis in Emerging and Frontier Market Countries: Barriers and Drivers. *Sustainability* 14(7): 4223.
12. Coelho Junior MG, de Oliveira AL, da Silva-Neto EC, Castor Neto TC, de O Tavares AA, et al. (2021) Exploring plural values of ecosystem services: local peoples' perceptions and implications for protected area management in the atlantic forest of Brazil. *Sustainability* 13(3): 1019.
13. Junior MGC, Biju BP, da Silva Neto EC, de Oliveira AL, de Oliveira Tavares AA, et al. (2020) Improving the management effectiveness and decision-making by stakeholders' perspectives: A case study in a protected area from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Journal of Environmental Management* 272: 111083.